

Comment Set B.10: Residents of Agua Dulce

September 23, 2006

John Boccio, CPUC, EIR Project Manager
Marian Kadota, USFS, EIS Project Manger
Aspen Environmental Group
30423 Canwood Street, Suite 215
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

RE: Antelope Pardee 500 kV Transmission Project Proposed by Southern California Edison
Application No. A.04-12-007

Dear Mr. Boccio and Ms. Kadota:

Our community is strongly opposed to Alternate 5 – Antelope Pardee Sierra Pelona Re-Route of the above referenced project.

The Alternate 5 route abandons a designated utility corridor on public National Forest Service land to be replaced by a new 18.8 mile utility corridor on private land. Relocation of this utility corridor will displace people, homes, and businesses. There is no justification for condemnation or removal of personal property or homes when adequate right-of-ways are already present.

Operational activities of the transmission project will substantially decrease property values along the Alternate 5 route alignment. The Draft EIR/EIS indicates there are 103 parcels what will be traversed by the route. Yet the actual route is unknown and the detailed alignment studies will not be initiated unless this alternate is approved. The number of impacted property owners could be far greater than indicated.

Additionally, National Forest Service policy and providing adequate fire protection to our National Forests should not outweigh the safety and fire protection of a community in a very high fire danger zone with limited water supply and limited improved road infrastructure.

The significantly longer route of Alternate 5 results in greater environmental impacts to air quality, biological resources, hydrology and water quality, land use and public recreation, noise, public services, traffic and transportation, and utilities and service systems.

The Draft EIR/EIS fails to adequately evaluate the impacts of socioeconomic, cultural, and visual impacts of Alternate 5. The decreased quality of life, condemnation of homes and businesses, and the unsightly towers will destroy our community's value. Overall, this alternate would not substantially lessen any impacts of the proposed project without creating greater impacts of its own. Therefore, Alternate 5 should be eliminated from further consideration.

Sincerely yours,

Additional Comments

B.10-1

B.10-2

B.10-3

B.10-4

B.10-5

B.10-6

Ninety-nine (99) copies of this form letter were submitted by members of the public, as listed below.

Name	Address	City
Steve Beaumont	7210 Spigno Place	Agua Dulce
Weston Beaumont	7210 Spigno Place	Agua Dulce
Debbie Beaumont	7210 Spigno Place	Agua Dulce
Scott and Alene Griffin	12000 W. Anteros Drive	Agua Dulce
Martin and Trudee Tatum	43511 18th Street West	Lancaster
David Baral	15821 Ventura Blvd., Suite 500	Encino
David and Suzanne Burr	27616 Spandau Drive	Saugus
Georgiana and Steve Rodrigues	33453 Domino Hill Road	Agua Dulce
Kim Milewsky	10618 Dale Road	Agua Dulce
Stacy M. Gunderson	10234 Escondido Canyon Road	Agua Dulce
Steve and Lynn Cummings	33841 Rozich Road	Agua Dulce
Christopher Jon Brown	35139 Penman Road	Agua Dulce
Hugh	33650 Tatavia Drive	Agua Dulce
Gregory and Pat Sacca	10128 W. Escondido Canyon Road	Agua Dulce
Lou Von McKim	32908 Acklins Avenue	Agua Dulce
Raquel Mejia	9927 Sierra Highway	Agua Dulce
William and Linda Conner	11535 Mint Canyon Road	Agua Dulce
Gail A. MacDonald	33710 Shallow Creek Road	Agua Dulce
Paul Tollett	34715 Sweetwater Drive	Agua Dulce
S.	13561 Woolsey Way	Agua Dulce
Daniel Jenkins	No address provided	No city provided
George MacDonald	33710 Shallow Creek Road	Agua Dulce
Barbara T. Stoner	33866 Agua Dulce Canyon Road	Agua Dulce
Robert Dingerry	33230 Barber Road	Agua Dulce
Douglas Turner	32520 Eagleset Avenue	Agua Dulce
Serena and Dennis Irpania	32633 Agua Dulce Canyon Road	Agua Dulce
Charles Giuinginam	30090 Briggs Road	Agua Dulce
John Bodine	33571 Agua Dulce Canyon Road	Agua Dulce
Joseph W. Sweeney	33411 Tyndall Road	Agua Dulce
Carol A. Nichols	9909 Escondido Canyon Road	Agua Dulce
Bill and Vickki Kincaid	8627 Sierra Highway	Agua Dulce
Anita Higer	9440 Sierra Highway	Agua Dulce
Marjan van der Zalm	10415 Bedworth Road	Agua Dulce
Dave Joseph	33740 Kabe Road	Agua Dulce
Shawn and Marie Kautz	35149 Renman Road	Agua Dulce
Antoinette Peaby	11650 Darling Road	Agua Dulce
Michael Gatinsky	31765 Indian Oak Road	Acton
Scott Field	32037 Calle Vista	Agua Dulce
Susan Field	32037 Calle Vista	Agua Dulce
Nicholas and Cavia Karamanos	11530 Morgan Road	Agua Dulce
Cathy Kohl	9262 Old Stage Road	Agua Dulce
Unreadable name	11117 Lewis Hill Road	Agua Dulce
Thomas Gunderson	10234 Escondido Canyon Road	Agua Dulce

Name	Address	City
Mike Perlman	11650 Darling Road	Agua Dulce
Bill Rucker	33124 Deer Glen Lane	Agua Dulce
Marci Higer	9440 Sierra Highway	Agua Dulce
Brian Palmer	33933 Bradmore Road	Agua Dulce
Caroline Hudson	35240 Penman Road	Agua Dulce
Joy Flaherty	33231½ Agua Dulce Canyon Road	Agua Dulce
Carlo Basail	33154 Agua Dulce Canyon Road	Agua Dulce
Robin Musselman	34835 Caprock Road	Agua Dulce
Scott Flammer	33301 Agua Dulce Canyon Road	Agua Dulce
Dawn E. Kimball	10355 Pike Road	Agua Dulce
Kimberly B. King Daigneault	10450 Bedworth Road	Agua Dulce
Cam Daigneault	10450 Bedworth Road	Agua Dulce
Bill Burg	33565 Agua Dulce	Santa Clarita
Unreadable name	31650 Spring Canyon	Agua Dulce
Nancy E. Degan	No address provided	No city provided
Rachel Waller	35425 Sierra Vista Drive	Agua Dulce
Mark Rener	12753 Sierra Highway #37	Agua Dulce
Walter	9809 Hierba Road	Agua Dulce
Linda Mil	9809 Hierba Road	Agua Dulce
Ralph Clarkson	35339 Anthony Road	Agua Dulce
Don Henry	33605 Agua Dulce Canyon Road	Agua Dulce
Laurie Henry	35450 Peppertree Lane	Agua Dulce
Larry and Linda Stelling	10012 Escondido Canyon Road	Agua Dulce
Jeanne Beaumont	7210 Spigno Place	Agua Dulce
Winston Beaumont	7210 Spigno Place	Agua Dulce
Stephanie and Alick Clark	8233 Banson Road	Acton
Jeanne Pickering	35740 Brian Avenue	Agua Dulce
Mark Pickering	35741 Brian Avenue	Agua Dulce
Karolyn DeMott	33819 Agua Dulce Canyon. Road	Agua Dulce
Samantha Paul	33255 N. Margarita Hills Drive	Acton
Melinda Venhuizen	6655 Ranchitos Drive	Acton
Pedro Flores	8551 Sierra Highway	Agua Dulce
Jeanie Flores	8551 Sierra Highway	Agua Dulce
Roxanne Hays	No address provided (E-mail)	Agua Dulce
Gregory Fritz	No address provided (E-mail)	Agua Dulce
Michael and Carol Nicholson	9909 Escondido Canyon Road	Agua Dulce
Jerry and Ellen Jacobson	8518 Hierba Road	Agua Dulce
Stanley Firestone	35100 Anthony Road	Agua Dulce
Kerri Acker	34863 Caprock Road	Santa Clarita
Sandy Spallini	35305 Penman Road	Agua Dulce
Gregg and Jill Reams	32708 Big Springs Road	Acton
Conor Verdekel	8711 Hierba Road	Agua Dulce
William Sager	35235 Penman Road	Agua Dulce
Shellie Sager	35235 Penman Road	Agua Dulce
Gary A. Jenkins	35302 Robinsore Road	Agua Dulce

Name	Address	City
Charlene Worthlei	33700 Domino Hill Road	Agua Dulce
Wm. Terrell Hasker	33700 Domino Hill Road	Agua Dulce
Joshua Freedman & Leilani Hall	8528 Hierba Road	Agua Dulce
Wahnita Lonsberry	32610 Big Springs Road	Acton
Ross Lonsberry	32610 Big Springs Road	Acton
Joy L. Mutschler	33219 Canyon Quail Trail	Agua Dulce
Margaret Hoofman		
Unreadable name	32610 Big Springs Road	Acton
Unreadable name	32610 Big Springs Road	Acton
Lynne Willingham	35733 Buckhaven Road	Agua Dulce
Chris Willingham	35733 Buckhaven Road	Agua Dulce

The following commenters provided additional comments on the form letter

Scott and Alene Griffin

Based upon sustainable development principles, Alt. 5 is the least preferred option. It has higher cost, severely negative social impacts, and negative environmental consequences, e.g., noise, air pollution, and damage to groundwater.

David and Suzanne Burr

We are building our retirement home. We are planning on moving in Dec. 06. My mother will be living with us, she has cancer, but is in remission. Not only will this affect our lives, our comm. but our health as well. Please help us to get rid of this alt. route. Thank you.

Steve and Lynn Cummings

Anything that my wife and I and our Mint Canyon neighbors can do, let us know!!

Christopher Jon Brown

Would ruin Agua Dulce, cause millions in suits from eminent domain, it is possible to run the lines right where they are. Don't ruin another area.

Gregory and Pat Sacca

Use the existing power lines. Don't ruin our rural lifestyle.

Raquel Mejia

We love Agua Dulce the way it is!

Antoinette Peaby

Please think.

Susan Field

We moved here to get out of the city for the beautiful area.

Cathy Kohl

Best option for route is the existing.

Unreadable name

What are you thinking!

Caroline A. Hudson

We strongly oppose this invasion of our community. Use unincorporated areas!

Carlo Basail

Change is a difficult thing to do. To do it for no apparent better reason than when we already have a utility corridor that exists now.

Scott Flammer

This is a beautiful town! Don't destroy it.

William and Linda Conner

We need to keep Agua Dulce clean and free of ugly towers.

Gail A. MacDonald

This project is planned immediately behind our property, and we don't want to see 220' towers from our little piece of heaven.

Paul Tollett

Power lines need to be underground. We need to mitigate visual pollution.

Daniel Jenkins

Our place along with my mother's home is on 5 acres of beautiful flat area. My father built this home with the intension for my mom to live the rest of her life. I've been with her and built my own residence since 91 after my dad's passing. We don't want to be uprooted! At any cost!

Barbara T. Stoner

Why hasn't the community to be impacted notified before this? How can all the environmental concerns be addressed in the short time?

John Bodine

Put the lines in where it will not affect people who already have property. Don't make people move – not okay!

Joseph W. Sweeney

Keep the power lines away from the homes.

Bill and Vikki Kincaid

This will be environmentally dangerous for people and animals.

Marjan van der Zalm

As a real estate appraiser, I feel it will have an extreme negative impact on property values in Agua Dulce and will ruin the rural lifestyle.

Dawn E. Kimball

I don't understand why the existing route through the forest cannot be used. I love the forest, but frankly, I've never been anywhere near where the existing route is!

Kimberly B. King Daigneault

This project is financially and environmentally irresponsible. Use the towers that exist or upgrade the existing line. Financially for the homeowners these lines pass by will be ruined. Health concerns outweigh the financial devastation. This must be stopped!

Cam Daigneault

A conflict of interest for public safety. Financially, a move in the wrong direction when that money could be spent wiser. Alternative energies, such as wind and solar, etc.

Unreadable name

Even though the tower line will bisect my property, I was never notified until after the hearings. This line will devastate the community.

Nancy E. Degan

I live her. What are ya doing, buddy? This also affects locations for the movie industry!! What about airport safety for the proposed power lines? This is our home! EMF-high voltage cause serious health consequences and even death.

Racher Waller

Please don't ruin our health or rural lives!

Mark Renner

I would propose that the line be put underground.

Stephanie and Alick Clark

Alternate 5 has many negative aspects, including * THE CORONA NOISE AND VISUAL IMPACT IS UNACCEPTABLE * Towers are too close to airport and ELEMENTARY SCHOOL! * May result in the removal and displacement of homes and residents. * Fire risk. * Traverses 103 private properties.

Karolyn DeMott

NO Power Towers in Agua Dulce!

Melinda Venhuizen

Why disrupt so many homes?

Jeanie Flores

We live in the proposed Alt. 5 corridor. We are very concerned about the health hazards to our 3 small children. Our views on 3 sides would be severely affected. We feel it would be best to keep the route in the original corridor. Thank you for your time.

Kerri Acker

Please consider how the power lines would destroy the natural beauty of our small town. Also, the health risks of these families near them.

Jerry and Ellen Jacobson

Our home is next to the proposed Alt. Rt. 5. Bringing this project near us would destroy our property values and the equity we've built-up over 20 years. Please be advised that commercial, private, and military air traffic could be put in great danger from these towers. **THIS IS THE WRONG ROUTE!**

Gary A. Jenkins

The fact that Alternate 5 is even a consideration is nothing short of criminal! As a Los Angeles fireman for over 29 years, I am disgusted at the self-serving politics and complete disregard for Agua Dulce residents and for the level of service and consideration we deserve, for the professionals we are and taxes we contribute!

Conor Verdekel

Do not allow this project to foul our property and community!

Gregg and Jill Reams

I was able to get hundreds of signatures of residents NOT in favor of this proposed Alternative 5 Route. I obtained these signatures in a matter of a few hours. Please contact Mary Johnson at MARYJOHNSON@CWAVEISP.NET (661) 268-8804.

Charlene Worthlei

I urge you to reconsider Alternative 5. It will have serious negative effects on our small rural community, and the greater surrounding natural areas in terms of (?), fire danger, and EMF pollution. Not enough time for public comment or discussion.

Wahnita Lonsberry

This plan is reckless abandon for the safety and protection of the residents of this area. It is unacceptable. I am confident you will seek and find an alternative solution that will be acceptable to all. Thank you.

Ross Lonsberry

Please help us. This is unspoiled land and to do this makes no sense. There has to be a better route.

Joy L. Mutschler

Three of my friends will be affected by this and they all have young children. It is known that these towers cause cancer. For this community's health alone, these towers should be eliminated for further consideration. WE are not a community who will give up.

Response to Comment Set B.10: Agua Dulce Form Letter

- B.10-1 Thank you for submitting your opinion and comments regarding Alternative 5. These will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.
- B.10-2 Please see General Response GR-1 regarding effects on property values. Your comments are consistent with the findings of the Draft EIR/EIS.
- B.10-3 Thank you for submitting your opinion and comments. These will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.
- B.10-4 The impacts of Alternative 5 compared to the proposed Project and other alternatives are discussed in Section D of the Draft EIR/EIS. Alternative 5 would have the potential to expose the greatest number of residences to noise associated with construction, operation, and maintenance activities and is therefore, the least preferable alternative with respect to Noise. Alternative 5 would also be the least preferable for Public Services. For Hydrology and Water Quality, Alternative 5 was determined to be preferable to both Alternative 1 and Alternative 2. For Air Quality, Land Use and Public Recreation, Traffic and Transportation, and Utilities and Service Systems, Alternative 5 was determined to be the second least preferable alternative surpassing only Alternative 1. However, Alternative 5 was determined to be the preferred alternative from a biological perspective as it may result in beneficial impacts to the California condor and Management Indicator Species on National Forest System (NFS) lands, and would be located in habitats characterized by greater disturbance than the proposed Project or other alternatives.
- B.10-5 The Draft EIR/EIS addresses Alternative 5 impacts on Socioeconomics in Section C.12.10, Cultural Resources in Section C.4.10, and Visual Resources in Section C.15.10.
- B.10-6 Thank you for submitting your opinion and comments regarding Alternative 5. These will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.